

4 PLANNING ENFORCEMENT MATTERS (INCLUDING VCAT AND MAGISTRATES COURT PROSECUTIONS)

FILE REFERENCE INT1813528

RESPONSIBLE GENERAL MANAGER Andrew Paxton

AUTHOR Owen Hardidge

RECOMMENDATION

That the list of enforcement matters currently before VCAT and the Magistrates Court (and the County Court) be noted.

Attachments

Nil.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The following list of enforcement matters currently before VCAT & the Magistrates' Court is submitted for Councillors information.

BACKGROUND

Where breaches of the Planning Scheme are detected, the breaches may give rise to criminal liability, which may result in infringements being issued, or charges being filed in the Magistrates Court of Victoria.

If Council cannot obtain appropriate remediation by consent, Council undertakes enforcement action at the Victorian Civil and Administrative Tribunal (VCAT).

VCAT enforcement actions will usually be delayed until Magistrates' Court proceedings are completed.

These matters can take several forms and the following are the usual steps in the enforcement process.

Current enforcement cases

The following list indicates such enforcement activities that are currently before VCAT or the Magistrates' Court.

Property Address	Nature of Contravention	Status
2705 Princes	Removal of native	On 18 January 2018, the company that owns
Hwy, Tynong	vegetation in Council	this property appeared in the Magistrates
North	road reserve, in breach	Court at Dandenong.
	of Environmental	
(OH:LK:17356)	Significance Overlay	Council and the owner have agreed to the
	(42.01) and Native	making of an Enforcement Order which
	Vegetation Particular	requires the owner to manage the road
	Provisions (52.17).	reserve for 10 yrs. Work has commenced on



Property	Nature of	Status
Address	Contravention	
	Breach of planning permit condition relating to protection of remnant vegetation	the relevant applications, which will result in orders by consent.
715 Gembrook Rd, Pakenham Upper	Construction of retaining wall without building permit.	FOR INFORMATION ONLY – no on going planning enforcement matters relating to property
(ref: OH:AB:14130)		MAGISTRATES COURT CASE relating to unpermitted building work (retaining wall) –
		On 21 December 2017, the Magistrates Court delivered judgement in this matter, finding the charges proven. The owner was fined \$1500, without conviction, and ordered the owner to pay in excess of \$15,000 costs.
		The COUNTY COURT has listed a contested hearing of the matter in May 29-31 2018.
765 Gembrook Rd, Pakenham Upper (OH:LK:16299)	Native vegetation removal, and earthworks creating driveway and hardstand, in breach of Rural Conservation Zone – Schedule 2, Environmental	Magistrates' Court proceeding, alleging that the owner has conducted earthworks that require a permit, and cleared native vegetation, both without a permit. The mater is set down for a 2-day contested hearing from May 2nd 2018.
	Significance Overlay Schedule 1, and Clause 52.17	
60 Hillbrick Rd, Garfield	Earthworks affecting drainage and flow of water, without a	VCAT enforcement order application has been filed.
OH:JALF:17371	permit/in breach of issued permit, contrary to Environmental Significance Overlay – Schedule 1	The applicant has begun remediating the land, and reimbursed our fees. If the work is completed to Council satisfaction, it is likely that the application will be withdrawn, by consent, on the 2nd March 2018
555 Back Creek Rd, Gembrook EH:LK:16272	Native vegetation removal, and earthworks creating a dam, in breach of	Magistrates' Court prosecution arising from the creation of a large dam (by earthworks and vegetation removal), contrary to strict environmental controls and Section 173
	Section 173 agreement and the scheme. Rural Conservation Zone – Sch 1,	agreement protecting vegetation on the land. On 15th September 2016 the Court issued a Warrant for arrest to compel the attendance of the accused. The matter is adjourned

TOWN PLANNING COMMITTEE - 5 MARCH 2018



Property Address	Nature of Contravention	Status
	Environmental Significance Overlay – Sch 1, Bushfire Management Overlay, and Clause 52.17	indefinitely, pending Victoria Police execution of the warrant.

CONCLUSION

The list of current enforcement activities is presented for information.

GLOSSARY TERMS

Practice day hearing

This is the first stage of the VCAT process, and is held shortly after the application is lodged. It is used to assess the future path of the case, and determine if the case can be settled, or will need to proceed to a full hearing.

Mention hearing

A mention hearing is a brief hearing, where the Court or VCAT lists the matter for brief hearing. If the case can be dealt with swiftly, it will be dealt with at a Mention hearing. For more lengthy matters (such as contested hearings) the case will be further adjourned. In the Magistrates' Court, the Court may hear a "guilty plea" during a mention hearing.

Administrative Mention

Administrative Mention is a hearing held without the parties in attendance and requires written correspondence from both parties to update the Member on the process of the matter.

Adjournment

A court or Tribunal will adjourn a case when the matter is deferred until another date. This can occur for a number of reasons, and is at the discretion of the Magistrate or VCAT member.

Land Management Plan

These plans are used to describe actions that will remediate the land, and commonly describe rehabilitation following unlawful vegetation removal. This plan will then become mandatory, by being incorporated into an Enforcement Order or a Section 173 agreement. The contents of the Plan will be decided by Council's Environment Team, or Vegetation Management officer.

Contested hearing / Full hearing

A contested (or "full" hearing) means the matter is disputed by the accused/respondent, and Council and the respondent will fully present and test each other's evidence and/or submissions. A contested (of "full") hearing is effectively a "trial".

Consent Orders

Consent Orders are an agreement between Council and the Respondents to, in most cases, create an Enforcement Order with conditions that are agreed to by both Parties. This is done where a Respondent has accepted there has been a breach of the Act and wants to comply with Council's proposed Enforcement Order. This saves on time and money by avoiding a hearing or lengthy VCAT processes.